

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/642,731	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EBATA, MASAMICHI	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHIRAG R. PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2454	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) CHIRAG R. PATEL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Johnathan Berschadsky (Reg# 46,551).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggested examiner amendment to add "non-transitory" to claim 19. Also discussion cancelling claims 20-28, which were previously withdrawn. Applicants authorized examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/NATHAN FLYNN/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)